

REMARKS

We are in receipt of the Office Action dated March 25, 2004 and the above Amendment and following remarks are made in light thereof.

Claims 1-26 are pending in the application. Pursuant to the Office Action, claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Schoolman 5,281,957 taken with Yamauchi et al. 5,276,471 in view of Catallo et al. 5,867,817 taken with Spitzer 6,349,001. Claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Schoolman, Yamauchi et al., Catallo et al. and Spitzer, further in view of Funai et al. 6,162,667. Claims 3, 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Schoolman, Yamauchi et al., Catallo et al., Spitzer and Funai et al., further in view of Oka et al. 6,235,563. Claims 5, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Schoolman, Yamauchi et al., Catallo et al. and Spitzer, and further in view of Intriligator 6,163,323 taken with Lewis 6,040,812. Claims 6, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Schoolman, Yamauchi et al., Catallo et al. and Spitzer, and further in view of Nishi et al. 5,541,747.

Independent claims 1, 7, 13, 22-26 have been amended to add limitation of a "camera provided over said input operation

device" and claim 2 has been amended to add the limitation of a "camera connected to said controller".

In the present invention, where the flat panel displays are mounted on the head of the user, it is possible to look at an input operation device and hands on the flat panel displays and to operate without looking toward the input operation device and the like, owing to a camera being provided over the input operation device (or connected to the controller) (Fig. 9). Meanwhile, Spitzer teaches a camera integrated in the eyeglass temple, eyeglass lens, etc., and allows the camera to have the same line of sight as the user's eye, without parallax error (column 2, lines 54-65). Accordingly, Spitzer does not teach the claimed feature of the "camera provided over said input operation device (or connected to the controller)," as in Spitzer it is necessary to look toward the input operation device when operating the input operation device.


Therefore, Applicant submits that claimed invention cannot be obtained even when cited references are combined.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 13 and 16-22 have also been amended for clarification.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance, and an early Office Action in this regard is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 22, 2004



Stephen B. Heller
Registration No. 30,181

COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.
200 West Adams Street - #2850
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 236-8500